



TD 2006/52 - Income tax: can a dividend, or part of a dividend, be non-assessable non-exempt income under both section 23AJ and section 23AK of the Income Tax Assessment Act 1936?

 This cover sheet is provided for information only. It does not form part of *TD 2006/52 - Income tax: can a dividend, or part of a dividend, be non-assessable non-exempt income under both section 23AJ and section 23AK of the Income Tax Assessment Act 1936?*

 This document has changed over time. This is a consolidated version of the ruling which was published on *22 December 2010*



Taxation Determination

Income tax: can a dividend, or part of a dividend, be non-assessable non-exempt income under both section 23AJ and section 23AK of the *Income Tax Assessment Act 1936*?

ⓘ This publication provides you with the following level of protection:

This publication (excluding appendixes) is a public ruling for the purposes of the *Taxation Administration Act 1953*. A public ruling is an expression of the Commissioner's opinion about the way in which a relevant provision applies, or would apply, to entities generally or to a class of entities in relation to a particular scheme or a class of schemes. If you rely on this ruling, we must apply the law to you in the way set out in the ruling (or in a way that is more favourable for you if we are satisfied that the ruling is incorrect and disadvantages you, and we are not prevented from doing so by a time limit imposed by the law). You will be protected from having to pay any underpaid tax, penalty or interest in respect of the matters covered by this ruling if it turns out that it does not correctly state how the relevant provision applies to you.

[Note: This is a consolidated version of this document. Refer to the ATO Legal Database (<http://law.ato.gov.au>) to check its currency and to view the details of all changes.]

Ruling


1. No. Section 23AJ of the *Income Tax Assessment Act 1936* (ITAA 1936)¹ does not apply to a dividend to the extent that the dividend is 'non-assessable non-exempt income'² under section 23AK.

¹ All legislative references are to the ITAA 1936 unless otherwise stated.

² A dividend, or part of a dividend, that is not assessable income and is not exempt income under section 23AJ or section 23AK is 'non-assessable non-exempt income' as defined in the *Income Tax Assessment Act 1997* (ITAA 1997) (see section 6-23 of the ITAA 1997).

Example

2. *Austco, an Australian resident company, owns 10% of Forco, a foreign investment fund. The remaining shareholding in Forco is held by unrelated foreign entities. As at 1 July 2008, there was a FIF attribution surplus of \$10,000 in the FIF attribution account in relation to Austco.*



3. *On 1 December 2008, Forco pays a dividend of \$15,000 to Austco (Forco has not paid any other dividends to Austco). The dividend is a FIF attribution account payment. Since there is a FIF attribution surplus of \$10,000 immediately before the payment, there is a FIF attribution debit of \$10,000 on payment of the dividend.*

4. *Section 23AK applies to the extent of \$10,000 of the dividend. Section 23AJ applies to the remaining \$5,000 of the dividend.*

5. *The whole of the dividend is non-assessable non-exempt income for Austco. In addition, Austco:*

- *will be entitled to a deduction under section 25-90 of the ITAA 1997 for costs (including interest) specified in paragraph 820-40(1)(a) of the ITAA 1997 incurred in deriving the dividend income;*
- *will be entitled to a deduction for other outgoings under section 8-1 of the ITAA 1997 (where the requirements of that section are met) only to the extent that they are incurred in deriving \$10,000 of the dividend (that is that part of the dividend to which section 23AK applies);*
- *may be entitled to a foreign income tax offset for any foreign tax it is taken to have paid and been personally liable for in respect of that \$10,000 in accordance with section 770-10 of the ITAA 1997; and*
- *may increase its conduit foreign income by a net amount of \$5,000 (that is that part of the dividend to which section 23AJ applies).*

Date of effect

6. This Determination applies to dividends paid after 30 June 2004. However, the Determination does not apply to taxpayers to the extent that it conflicts with the terms of settlement of a dispute agreed to before the date of issue of the Determination.

Commissioner of Taxation6 September 2006

Appendix 1 – Explanation

❶ *This Appendix is provided as information to help you understand how the Commissioner's view has been reached. It does not form part of the binding public ruling.*

Explanation

7. A dividend to which section 23AJ applies is not assessable income and is not exempt income. A 'FIF attribution account payment' (defined as including a dividend³) under Part XI (Foreign Investment Funds) is not assessable income and is not exempt income to the extent that section 23AK applies to it.

8. Section 23AJ applies if:

- a company that is not a 'Part X Australian resident' pays a 'non-portfolio dividend';⁴
- the dividend is paid to an Australian resident company; and
- the Australian resident company does not receive the dividend in the capacity of a trustee.

9. Section 23AK applies if:

- a FIF attribution account payment is made to a taxpayer (other than a partnership or taxpayer in the capacity of trustee); and
- on making the payment, a FIF attribution debit⁵ arises for the entity making the payment in relation to the taxpayer.

10. Prima facie, sections 23AJ and 23AK may both apply in respect of the same dividend if:

- the FIF attribution account payment is a non-portfolio dividend;
- the taxpayer receiving that payment is an Australian resident company; and
- there is a FIF attribution surplus in relation to that company immediately prior to the FIF attribution account payment being made which causes a FIF attribution debit to arise.

11. On its own, section 23AJ applies to the whole of the non-portfolio dividend, whilst section 23AK only applies to the non-portfolio dividend to the extent of the FIF attribution debit.

12. Broadly, the purpose of section 23AJ applying to a dividend is to exclude the non-portfolio dividend from the income tax base.

³ See paragraph 603(1)(a).

⁴ 'Part X Australian resident' and 'non-portfolio dividend' are defined in section 317.

⁵ A FIF attribution debit arises where there is a FIF attribution surplus in relation to the entity immediately prior to the FIF attribution account payment being made (see subsection 606(1)). A FIF attribution surplus arises where the FIF attribution credits exceed the FIF attribution debits (see section 604). A FIF attribution credit arises where, broadly, an amount has been included in an Australian resident taxpayer's assessable income under Part XI.

13. The purpose of section 23AK applying to a dividend is to prevent double taxation. This is in recognition of the fact that, in effect, the dividend has been paid out of profits that have previously been taxed in Australia under Part XI. In further recognition of this fact, the treatment of the dividend as non-assessable non-exempt income is disregarded to preserve entitlements to deductions and foreign income tax offsets.

14. In this regard, subsection 23AK(2) provides, in essence, that the fact that a FIF attribution account payment is non-assessable non-exempt income under section 23AK is to be disregarded for the purpose of determining allowable deductions under any other provision.⁶ The intention of subsection 23AK(2) is to allow the taxpayer to obtain a deduction for a loss or outgoing that would otherwise be deductible (for example under section 8-1 of the ITAA 1997) but for the fact that the income is non-assessable non-exempt. There is no such provision within section 23AJ.

15. Subsection 770-10(2) of the ITAA 1997 provides that a resident taxpayer receiving a dividend that is non-assessable non-exempt income under either section 23AI or 23AK of the ITAA 1936 will be entitled to a non-refundable tax offset for the foreign income tax paid on the distribution. This permits a company, in certain circumstances, to claim a foreign income tax offset for foreign tax paid in respect of the dividend. Again, there is no equivalent provision for a dividend that is non-assessable non-exempt income under section 23AJ.

16. As sections 23AJ and 23AK may each produce different outcomes in the above respects, it needs to be determined to what extent each provision applies.

17. In *Project Blue Sky Inc. and Others v. Australian Broadcasting Authority*,⁷ the majority of the High Court stated:

The primary object of statutory construction is to construe the relevant provision so that it is consistent with the language and purpose of all the provisions of the statute. The meaning of the provision must be determined 'by reference to the language of the instrument viewed as a whole'. In *Commissioner for Railways (NSW) v. Agalinos*,⁸ Dixon CJ pointed out that 'the context, the general purpose and policy of a provision and its consistency and fairness are surer guides to its meaning than the logic with which it is constructed'. Thus, the process of construction must always begin by examining the context of the provision that is being construed. A legislative instrument must be construed on the prima facie basis that its provisions are intended to give effect to harmonious goals.⁹

18. A construction under which section 23AK applies exclusively to the dividend to the extent a FIF attribution debit arises is wholly consistent with the structure of the Act when read in its entirety. Any other construction would negate the specifically intended consequences of section 23AK applying (see paragraphs 14 and 15).

19. For example, if both section 23AJ and section 23AK were to apply in respect of a dividend, subsection 23AK(2) would not operate to allow a deduction under section 8-1 of the ITAA 1997 for a loss or outgoing that is incurred in gaining or producing the section 23AK income. This is because the whole of the dividend would still be non-assessable non-exempt income under section 23AJ (see paragraph 8-1(2)(c) of the ITAA 1997). Similarly, if section 23AJ alone applied in respect of the dividend, deductions (other than those permitted under section 25-90 of the ITAA 1997) and foreign income tax offsets would not be available.

⁶ Note, section 25-90 of the ITAA 1997 allows a deduction for certain costs (including interest) incurred in deriving income that is non-assessable non-exempt income under section 23AK or section 23AJ.

⁷ (1998) 194 CLR 355.

⁸ (1955) 29 ALJ 461; [1955] ALR 645; (1955) 92 CLR 390; [1955] WCR (NSW) 2.

⁹ Per McHugh, Gummow, Kirby and Hayne JJ at 381-382.

20. To read the Act in a manner which does not give section 23AK primary and exclusive operation to the extent of a FIF attribution debit would render ineffective the specific legislative treatment of dividend income representing profits previously attributed under Part XI.

21. Therefore, where sections 23AJ and 23AK appear to overlap (that is a payment of a non-portfolio dividend to a resident company giving rise to a FIF attribution debit), the better construction is that section 23AK applies exclusively to the extent of the FIF attribution debit and section 23AJ applies to any part of the dividend that exceeds the FIF attribution debit.

22. The consequences of this construction include the following:

- the whole of the dividend is non-assessable non-exempt income;
- section 25-90 of the ITAA 1997 allows a deduction for those costs in relation to a debt interest issued by an 'Australian entity'¹⁰ (including interest) that are incurred in deriving the dividend income and covered by paragraph 820-40(1)(a) of the ITAA 1997 (the definition of 'debt deduction');
- deductions for other losses or outgoings incurred in gaining or producing the dividend may be permitted (for example, under section 8-1 of the ITAA 1997) but only to the extent that they are incurred in gaining or producing that part of the dividend that is non-assessable non-exempt income under section 23AK;¹¹
- that part of the dividend that is non-assessable non-exempt income under section 23AJ (that is that part of the dividend that is not covered by section 23AK) will increase conduit foreign income (see section 802-30 of the ITAA 1997);¹² and
- the taxpayer may be entitled to a foreign income tax offset under section 770-10 of the ITAA 1997 for foreign tax they are taken to have paid and been personally liable for in respect of that part of the dividend to which section section 23AK applies.

¹⁰ 'Australian entity' has the meaning given by section 336.

¹¹ Note that expenses incurred in deriving the attributable income may continue to be deductible against the attributable income under general principles.

¹² Note that if the company is applying the Foreign Dividend Account (FDA) provisions, the part of the dividend that is non-assessable non-exempt income under section 23AJ (that is that part of the dividend that is not covered by section 23AK) will give rise to a FDA credit under subsection 128TA(1). The FDA provisions were repealed from 14 December 2005, subject to the transitional provisions (see Part 3 of Schedule 2 to the *Tax Laws Amendment (Loss Recoupment Rules and Other Measures) Act 2005*).

References

Previous draft:

TD 2006/D14

Related Rulings/Determinations:

TD 2006/51

Subject references:

- dividend income
- foreign attributable income
- foreign attribution credits
- foreign attribution debits
- foreign income
- foreign investment funds
- non-assessable non-exempt income
- non-portfolio foreign income

Legislative references:

- TAA 1953
- ITAA 1936 23AI
- ITAA 1936 23AK
- ITAA 1936 23AK(2)
- ITAA 1936 23AJ
- ITAA 1936 128TA(1)
- ITAA 1936 Pt X
- ITAA 1936 317
- ITAA 1936 336

- ITAA 1936 Pt XI
- ITAA 1936 603(1)(a)
- ITAA 1936 604
- ITAA 1936 606(1)
- ITAA 1997 6-23
- ITAA 1997 8-1
- ITAA 1997 8-1(2)(c)
- ITAA 1997 25-90
- ITAA 1997 770-10
- ITAA 1997 770-10(2)
- ITAA 1997 802-30
- ITAA 1997 820-40(1)(a)
- Tax Laws Amendment (Loss Recoupment Rules and Other Measures) Act 2005 Sch 2 Pt 3

Case references:

- Commissioner of Railways (NSW) v. Agalianos (1955) 29 ALJ 461; [1955] ALR 645; (1955) 92 CLR 390; [1955] WCR (NSW) 2
- Project Blue Sky Inc & Others v. Australian Broadcasting Authority (1998) 194 CLR 355

ATO references

NO: 2005/17256

ISSN: 1038-8982

ATOlaw topic: Income Tax ~~ Exempt income ~~ foreign investment funds