TR 97/11 - Income tax: am I carrying on a business of primary production?

This cover sheet is provided for information only. It does not form part of TR 97/11 - Income tax: am I carrying on a business of primary production?

This document has changed over time. This is a consolidated version of the ruling which was published on 16 November 2011





FOI status: may be released

page 1 of 38

Taxation Ruling

Income tax: am I carrying on a business of primary production?

other Rulings on this topic IT 219; IT 2006; TR 93/26;

TR 95/6; TR 96/7; TD 93/39; TD 93/95; TD 96/16

contents	para
What this Ruling is about	1
Class of person/arrangemen	nt 3
Date of effect	7
Ruling	8
What is primary production	8
Some indicators of carrying a business of primary production	g on
_	
Private rulings	19
Explanations and example	es23
Indicators of a business of primary production	23
Significant commercial purpose or character	28
The intention of the	
taxpayer	39
Prospect of profit	47
Repetition and regularity	55
Is the activity of the same k	ind
and carried out in a manner is characteristic of the indus	
Organisation in a businessli manner and the use of	•
system	68
Size or scale of the activity	77
Hobby or recreation	86
Application of all the indicators	94
Private Rulings	104
Business plan	110

Detailed contents list

116

This Ruling, to the extent that it is capable of being a 'public ruling' in terms of Part IVAAA of the **Taxation Administration Act 1953**, is a public ruling for the purposes of that Part. Taxation Ruling TR 92/1 explains when a Ruling is a public ruling and how it is binding on the Commissioner.

[Note: This is a consolidated version of this document. Refer to the Tax Office Legal Database (http://law.ato.gov.au) to check its currency and to view the details of all changes.]

What this Ruling is about

- 1. This Ruling considers the meaning of 'business' of 'primary production' in the *Income Tax Assessment Act 1997* (ITAA 1997). It provides a guide to the indicators that are relevant to whether or not a person is carrying on a business of primary production. It also indicates the extent to which the Australian Taxation Office ('ATO') is able to provide further guidance to taxpayers on this question with private rulings.
- 2. The phrase 'carrying on a business of primary production' appears in a number of provisions in the ITAA 1997 and the *Income Tax Assessment Act 1936* (ITAA 1936). **However, this Ruling does not consider the detailed operation of any of these provisions**.

Class of person/arrangement

- 3. This Ruling applies to persons who carry on activities which might be described as 'primary production' in the ITAA 1997 (see the definition in paragraph 8 below).
- 4. The Ruling does not deal with the situation of a taxpayer who receives a payment from a one-off transaction, where there is no question as to whether he/she is carrying on a business.

page 2 of 38 FOI status: may be released

Other relevant Rulings and Determinations

- 5. The following Taxation Rulings and Taxation Determinations consider whether specific activities come within the meaning of primary production:
 - Income Tax Ruling IT 219 artificial breeding services;
 - Income Tax Ruling IT 2006 kelp harvesting;
 - Taxation Ruling TR 95/6 forest operations;
 - Taxation Determination TD 93/39 beach worming;
 - Taxation Determination TD 93/95 live sheep export.
- 6. Taxation Ruling TR 2008/2 expresses our views on a number of issues to do with the horse industry and when a taxpayer might be considered to be carrying on a business of primary production with respect to horses.

Date of effect

7. This Ruling applies to years commencing both before and after its date of issue. However, the Ruling does not apply to taxpayers to the extent that it conflicts with the terms of a settlement of a dispute agreed to before the date of issue of the Ruling (see paragraphs 75 and 76 of Taxation Ruling TR 2006/10).

Ruling

What is primary production

- 8. Subsection 995-1(1) of the ITAA 1997 defines 'primary production business' as carrying on a business of:
 - (a) cultivating or propagating plants, fungi or their products or parts (including seeds, spores, bulbs and similar things), in any physical environment; or
 - (b) maintaining animals for the purpose of selling them or their bodily produce (including natural increase); or
 - (c) manufacturing dairy produce from raw material that you produced; or
 - (d) conducting operations relating directly to taking or catching fish, turtles, dugong, bêche-de-mer, crustaceans or aquatic molluscs; or

FOI status: may be released page 3 of 38

- (e) conducting operations relating directly to taking or culturing pearls or pearl shell; or
- (f) planting or tending trees in a plantation or forest that are intended to be felled; or
- (g) felling trees in a plantation or forest; or
- (h) transporting trees, or parts of trees, that you felled in a plantation or forest to the place:
 - (i) where they are first to be milled or processed; or
 - (ii) from which they are to be transported to the place where they are first to be milled or processed.
- 9. A person is carrying on a business of primary production for the purposes of the ITAA 1997 if:
 - a. he/she produces 'primary production', as defined in subsection 995-1(1) of the ITAA 1997; and
 - b. that activity amounts to the carrying on of a business.
- 10. Subsection 995-1(1) defines 'business' to include 'any profession, trade, employment, vocation or calling, but does not include occupation as an employee'. However, this definition simply states what activities may be included in a business. It does not provide any guidance for determining whether the nature, extent, and manner of undertaking those activities amount to the carrying on of a business. For this purpose it is necessary to turn to case law.
- 11. The cases provide a number of indicators that are relevant to determining whether primary production activities constitute the carrying on of a business. These indicators are set out below. The indicators are no different, in principle, from the indicators as to whether activities in any other area constitute the carrying on of a business.

Some indicators of carrying on a business of primary production

- 12. Whilst each case might turn on its own particular facts, the determination of the question is generally the result of a process of weighing all the relevant indicators. Therefore, although it is not possible to lay down any conclusive test of whether a business of primary production is or is not being carried on, the indicators outlined below provide general guidance. This is explained further at paragraph 25 of this Ruling.
- 13. The courts have held that the following indicators are relevant:

page 4 of 38 FOI status: may be released

- whether the activity has a significant commercial purpose or character; this indicator comprises many aspects of the other indicators (see paragraphs 28 to 38);
- whether the taxpayer has more than just an intention to engage in business (see paragraphs 39 to 46);
- whether the taxpayer has a purpose of profit as well as a prospect of profit from the activity (see paragraphs 47 to 54);
- whether there is repetition and regularity of the activity (see paragraphs 55 to 62);
- whether the activity is of the same kind and carried on in a similar manner to that of the ordinary trade in that line of business (see paragraphs 63 to 67);
- whether the activity is planned, organised and carried on in a businesslike manner such that it is directed at making a profit (see paragraphs 68 to 76);
- the size, scale and permanency of the activity (see paragraphs 77 to 85); and
- whether the activity is better described as a hobby, a form of recreation or a sporting activity (see paragraphs 86 to 93).
- 14. A taxpayer does not need to derive all his/her income from the primary production activity. The taxpayer may also be employed in some other occupation or profession. What is important is that the taxpayer's primary production activity amounts to the carrying on of a business. This activity is considered separately from any other employment or business carried on by the taxpayer. The fact that another business is carried on does not necessarily mean that the primary production activity is also a business.
- 15. We stress that no one indicator is decisive (*Evans v. FC of T* 89 ATC 4540; (1989) 20 ATR 922), and there is often a significant overlap of these indicators. For example, an intention to make a profit will often motivate a person to carry out the activity in a systematic and organised way, so that the costs are kept down and the production and the price obtained for the produce are increased.
- 16. The indicators must be considered in combination and as a whole. Whether a business is being carried on depends on the 'large or general impression gained' (*Martin v. FC of T* (1953) 90 CLR 470 at 474; 5 AITR 548 at 551) from looking at all the indicators, and whether these factors provide the operations with a 'commercial flavour' (*Ferguson v. FC of T* (1979) 37 FLR 310 at 325; 79 ATC

FOI status: may be released page 5 of 38

4261 at 4271; (1979) 9 ATR 873 at 884). However, the weighting to be given to each indicator may vary from case to case.

- 17. Subject to all the circumstances of a case, where an overall profit motive appears absent and the activity does not look like it will ever produce a profit, it is unlikely that the activity will amount to a business.
- 18. The following table provides a summary of the main indicators of carrying on a business. The last three items shown are factors which support the main indicators.

Indicators which suggest a business is being carried on a significant commercial activity purpose and intention of the taxpayer in engaging in the activity an intention to make a profit from the activity is or will be profitable repetition and regularity of activity is carried on in a similar manner to that of the ordinary trade activity organised and carried on in a businesslike manner and systematically - records are kept size and scale of the activity a business plan exists commercial sales of product Indicators which suggest a business is not being carried on to a significant commercial activity not a significant commercial activity not a significant commercial activity no purpose or intention of the taxpayer to carry on a business activity the activity is or arried to mo intention to make a profit from the activity is inherently unprofitable little repetition or regularity of activity carried on in an ad hoc manner activity carried on in an ad hoc manner activity not organised or carried on in the same manner as the normal ordinary business activity - records are not kept size and scale of the activity a business plan exists there is no business plan taxpayer lacks knowledge or	[
a significant commercial activity purpose and intention of the taxpayer in engaging in the activity an intention to make a profit from the activity is or will be profitable repetition and regularity of activity is carried on in a similar manner to that of the ordinary trade activity organised and carried on in a businesslike manner and systematically - records are kept size and scale of the activity a business plan exists commercial sales of product taxpayer lacks knowledge or skill not a significant commercial activity no intention of the taxpayer to carry on a business activity no intention to make a profit from the activity the activity is inherently unprofitable little repetition or regularity of activity carried on in an ad hoc manner activity rearried on in an ad hoc manner activity not organised or carried on in the same manner as the normal ordinary business activity - records are not kept small size and scale a hobby, recreation or sporting activity a business plan exists there is no business plan taxpayer lacks knowledge or		
a significant commercial activity purpose and intention of the taxpayer in engaging in the activity an intention to make a profit from the activity the activity is or will be profitable repetition and regularity of activity is carried on in a similar manner to that of the ordinary trade activity organised and carried on in a businesslike manner and systematically - records are kept size and scale of the activity a business plan exists taxpayer has knowledge or skill no ta significant commercial activity no purpose or intention of the taxpayer to carry on a business activity the activity is inherently unprofitable little repetition or regularity of activity carried on in an ad hoc manner activity organised or carried on in the same manner as the normal ordinary business activity - records are not kept small size and scale a hobby, recreation or sporting activity a business plan exists there is no business plan taxpayer lacks knowledge or	business is being carried on	business is not being carried
purpose and intention of the taxpayer in engaging in the activity an intention to make a profit from the activity is or will be profitable repetition and regularity of activity is carried on in a similar manner to that of the ordinary trade activity organised and carried on in a businesslike manner and systematically - records are kept size and scale of the activity a business plan exists commercial sales of product taxpayer lacks knowledge or skill no purpose or intention of the taxpayer lacks knowledge or skill no purpose or intention of the taxpayer lacks knowledge or skill no purpose or intention of the taxpayer lacks knowledge or skill no purpose or intention of the taxpayer lacks knowledge or intention of the taxpayer lacks knowledge or skill		on
purpose and intention of the taxpayer in engaging in the activity an intention to make a profit from the activity the activity is or will be profitable repetition and regularity of activity activity is carried on in a similar manner to that of the ordinary trade activity organised and carried on in a businesslike manner and systematically - records are kept not a hobby, recreation or sporting activity a business plan exists taxpayer lacks knowledge or skill no purpose or intention of the taxpayer to carry on a business activity no intention to make a profit from the activity the activity is inherently unprofitable little repetition or regularity of activity carried on in an ad hoc manner activity organised or carried on in the same manner as the normal ordinary business activity - records are not kept size and scale of the activity small size and scale a hobby, recreation or sporting activity a business plan exists there is no business plan taxpayer lacks knowledge or	a significant commercial activity	not a significant commercial
taxpayer in engaging in the activity an intention to make a profit from the activity the activity is or will be profitable repetition and regularity of activity activity is carried on in a similar manner to that of the ordinary trade activity organised and carried on in a businesslike manner and systematically - records are kept not a hobby, recreation or sporting activity size and scale of the activity a business plan exists commercial sales of product taxpayer to carry on a business activity no intention to make a profit from the activity the activity is inherently unprofitable little repetition or regularity of activity carried on in an ad hoc manner activity organised or carried on in the same manner as the normal ordinary business activity - records are not kept size and scale of the activity a business plan exists there is no business plan commercial sales of product taxpayer lacks knowledge or		activity
activity an intention to make a profit from the activity the activity is or will be profitable repetition and regularity of activity activity is carried on in a similar manner to that of the ordinary trade activity organised and carried on in a businesslike manner and systematically - records are kept size and scale of the activity a business plan exists commercial sales of product taxpayer has knowledge or skill no intention to make a profit from the activity no intention to make a profit from the activity no intention to make a profit from the activity the activity is inherently unprofitable little repetition or regularity of activity carried on in an ad hoc manner activity organised and carried on in the same manner as the normal ordinary business activity - records are not kept size and scale of the activity a hobby, recreation or a hobby, recreation or sporting activity a business plan exists there is no business plan taxpayer lacks knowledge or	purpose and intention of the	no purpose or intention of the
an intention to make a profit from the activity the activity is or will be profitable repetition and regularity of activity activity is carried on in a similar manner to that of the ordinary trade activity organised and carried on in a businesslike manner and systematically - records are kept not a hobby, recreation or sporting activity a business plan exists commercial sales of product taxpayer has knowledge or skill no intention to make a profit from the activity from the activity the activity is inherently unprofitable little repetition or regularity of activity carried on in an ad hoc manner activity carried on in an ad hoc manner activity not organised or carried on in the same manner as the normal ordinary business activity - records are not kept size and scale of the activity a hobby, recreation or sporting activity a taxpayer lacks knowledge or	taxpayer in engaging in the	taxpayer to carry on a business
the activity the activity is or will be profitable repetition and regularity of activity activity is carried on in a similar manner to that of the ordinary trade activity organised and carried on in a businesslike manner and systematically - records are kept size and scale of the activity not a hobby, recreation or sporting activity a business plan exists commercial sales of product taxpayer has knowledge or skill taxpayer lacks knowledge or little repetition or regularity of activity activity activity or organised on in an ad hoc manner activity not organised or carried on in the same manner as the normal ordinary business activity - records are not kept small size and scale a hobby, recreation or sporting activity a taxpayer lacks knowledge or	activity	activity
the activity is or will be profitable repetition and regularity of activity activity is carried on in a similar manner to that of the ordinary trade activity organised and carried on in a businesslike manner and systematically - records are kept size and scale of the activity not a hobby, recreation or sporting activity a business plan exists taxpayer has knowledge or skill tittle repetition or regularity of activity activity activity activity activity not organised or carried on in the same manner as the normal ordinary business activity - records are not kept small size and scale a hobby, recreation or sporting activity a taxpayer lacks knowledge or starpayer lacks knowledge or	an intention to make a profit from	no intention to make a profit
repetition and regularity of activity activity activity is carried on in a similar manner to that of the ordinary trade activity organised and carried on in a businesslike manner and systematically - records are kept normal ordinary business activity - records are not kept size and scale of the activity small size and scale not a hobby, recreation or sporting activity a business plan exists there is no business plan commercial sales of product taxpayer has knowledge or skill taxpayer lacks knowledge or	the activity	from the activity
repetition and regularity of activity activity is carried on in a similar manner to that of the ordinary trade activity organised and carried on in a businesslike manner and systematically - records are kept size and scale of the activity not a hobby, recreation or sporting activity a business plan exists taxpayer has knowledge or skill little repetition or regularity of activity activity activity carried on in an ad hoc manner activity not organised or carried on in the same manner as the normal ordinary business activity - records are not kept small size and scale a hobby, recreation or sporting activity a business plan exists there is no business plan taxpayer lacks knowledge or	the activity is or will be profitable	the activity is inherently
activity is carried on in a similar manner to that of the ordinary trade activity organised and carried on in a businesslike manner and systematically - records are kept size and scale of the activity size and scale of the activity a business plan exists activity not organised or carried on in the same manner as the normal ordinary business activity - records are not kept small size and scale a hobby, recreation or a hobby, recreation or sporting activity activity a business plan exists there is no business plan commercial sales of product sale of products to relatives and friends taxpayer has knowledge or skill taxpayer lacks knowledge or		unprofitable
activity is carried on in a similar manner to that of the ordinary trade activity organised and carried on in a businesslike manner and systematically - records are kept size and scale of the activity size and scale of the activity small size and scale not a hobby, recreation or sporting activity a business plan exists there is no business plan commercial sales of product staxpayer has knowledge or skill activity carried on in an ad hoc manner and activity not organised or carried on in the same manner as the normal ordinary business activity - records are not kept small size and scale a hobby, recreation or sporting activity activity activity activity activity there is no business plan sale of products to relatives and friends taxpayer lacks knowledge or	repetition and regularity of	little repetition or regularity of
manner to that of the ordinary trade activity organised and carried on in a businesslike manner and systematically - records are kept size and scale of the activity not organised or carried on in the same manner as the normal ordinary business activity - records are not kept size and scale of the activity small size and scale not a hobby, recreation or a hobby, recreation or sporting sporting activity a business plan exists there is no business plan commercial sales of product sale of products to relatives and friends taxpayer has knowledge or skill taxpayer lacks knowledge or	activity	activity
trade activity organised and carried on in a businesslike manner and systematically - records are kept size and scale of the activity small size and scale of the activity a business plan exists taxpayer has knowledge or skill activity not organised or carried on in the same manner as the normal ordinary business activity - records are not kept small size and scale a hobby, recreation or sporting activity activity activity activity activity there is no business plan sale of products to relatives and friends taxpayer lacks knowledge or	activity is carried on in a similar	activity carried on in an ad hoc
activity organised and carried on in a businesslike manner and systematically - records are kept normal ordinary business activity - records are not kept size and scale of the activity small size and scale not a hobby, recreation or sporting activity a business plan exists there is no business plan commercial sales of product sale of products to relatives and friends taxpayer has knowledge or skill taxpayer lacks knowledge or	manner to that of the ordinary	manner
in a businesslike manner and systematically - records are kept normal ordinary business activity - records are not kept size and scale of the activity small size and scale not a hobby, recreation or sporting activity activity a business plan exists there is no business plan commercial sales of product sale of products to relatives and friends taxpayer has knowledge or skill taxpayer lacks knowledge or	trade	
systematically - records are kept size and scale of the activity not a hobby, recreation or sporting activity a business plan exists commercial sales of product taxpayer has knowledge or skill normal ordinary business activity - records are not kept small size and scale a hobby, recreation or sporting activity a there is no business plan sale of products to relatives and friends taxpayer lacks knowledge or	activity organised and carried on	activity not organised or carried
activity - records are not kept size and scale of the activity small size and scale not a hobby, recreation or sporting activity a business plan exists commercial sales of product taxpayer has knowledge or skill small size and scale a hobby, recreation or sporting activity sactivity sate of products to relatives and friends taxpayer lacks knowledge or	in a businesslike manner and	on in the same manner as the
size and scale of the activity not a hobby, recreation or sporting activity a business plan exists commercial sales of product taxpayer has knowledge or skill small size and scale a hobby, recreation or sporting activity activity sale of products to relatives and friends taxpayer lacks knowledge or	systematically - records are kept	normal ordinary business
not a hobby, recreation or sporting sporting activity activity a business plan exists there is no business plan commercial sales of product sale of products to relatives and friends taxpayer has knowledge or skill taxpayer lacks knowledge or		activity - records are not kept
sporting activity a business plan exists there is no business plan commercial sales of product sale of products to relatives and friends taxpayer has knowledge or skill taxpayer lacks knowledge or	size and scale of the activity	small size and scale
a business plan exists there is no business plan commercial sales of product sale of products to relatives and friends taxpayer has knowledge or skill taxpayer lacks knowledge or	not a hobby, recreation or	a hobby, recreation or sporting
commercial sales of product sale of products to relatives and friends taxpayer has knowledge or skill taxpayer lacks knowledge or	sporting activity	activity
friends taxpayer has knowledge or skill taxpayer lacks knowledge or	a business plan exists	there is no business plan
taxpayer has knowledge or skill taxpayer lacks knowledge or	commercial sales of product	sale of products to relatives and
		friends
	taxpayer has knowledge or skill	taxpayer lacks knowledge or
skill		skill

page 6 of 38 FOI status: may be released

Private rulings

- 19. A person can apply for a Ruling under Division 359 of Schedule 1 to the *Taxation Administration Act 1953* (TAA) on whether he/she is carrying on a business: (see TR 2006/11).
- 20. A person may seek a private ruling on the application of a tax law to a particular primary production activity.
- 21. [Omitted.]
- 22. An application should contain 'sufficient information' to enable the Commissioner to give the ruling. 'Sufficient information' in relation to a private ruling, where the matter(s) in issue include the carrying on of a business of primary production, includes information which covers the indicators set out at paragraph 13 (see also paragraphs 104 to 109).

Explanations and examples

Indicators of a business of primary production

23. There are no hard and fast rules for determining whether a taxpayer's activities amount to the carrying on of a business of primary production. The facts of each case must be examined. In *Martin* at CLR 474; AITR 551 Webb J said:

'The test is both subjective and objective: it is made by regarding the nature and extent of the activities under review, as well as the purpose of the individual engaging in them, and, as counsel for the taxpayer put it, the determination is eventually based on the large or general impression gained.'

24. The nature of the activity, the taxpayer's intention and the method of operation help determine whether a business of primary production is being carried on. Many of the relevant indicators are stated in the decision of the Full Federal Court in *Ferguson*. Bowen CJ and Franki J said in their joint judgment at FLR 314; ATC 4264-4265; ATR 876-877:

'Section 6 of the *Income Tax Assessment Act* [1936] defines 'business', stating that it includes any profession, trade, employment, vocation or calling, but does not include occupation as an employee. This does not afford much assistance in the present case. It is necessary to turn to the cases. There are many elements to be considered. The **nature of the activities**, particularly whether they have the **purpose of profit-making**, may be important. However, an immediate purpose of profit-making in a particular income year does not appear to be essential. Certainly it may be held a person is

FOI status: may be released page 7 of 38

carrying on business notwithstanding his profit is small or even where he is making a loss. **Repetition and regularity** of the activities is also important. However, every business has to begin, and even isolated activities may in the circumstances be held to be the commencement of carrying on business. Again, organization of activities in a businesslike manner, the keeping of books, records and the use of system may all serve to indicate that a business is being carried on. The fact that, concurrently with the activities in question, the taxpayer carries on the practice of a profession or another business, does not preclude a finding that his additional activities constitute the carrying on of a business. The volume of his operations and the amount of capital employed by him may be significant. However, if what he is doing is more properly described as the pursuit of a hobby or recreation or an addiction to a **sport,** he will not be held to be carrying on a business, even though his operations are fairly substantial.' (emphasis added)

25. In *Evans*, Hill J agreed that no one indicator could determine whether a business is being carried on. He said at ATC 4555; ATR 939:

The question of whether a particular activity constitutes a business is often a difficult one involving as it does questions of fact and degree. Although both parties referred me to comments made in decided cases, each of the cases depends upon its own facts and in the ultimate is unhelpful in the resolution of some other and different fact situation.

There is no one factor that is decisive of whether a particular activity constitutes a business. As Jessel M.R. said in the famous dictum in *Ericksen v. Last* (1881) 8 Q.B. 414 at p.416:

"There is not, I think, any principle of law which lays down what carrying on trade is. There are a multitude of things which together make up the carrying on of trade."

Profit motive (but see cf. *I.R. Commrs v. Incorporated Council of Law Reporting* (1888) 22 Q.B. 279), scale of activity, whether ordinary commercial principles are applied characteristic of the line of business in which the venture is carried on (*I.R. Commrs v. Livingston* (1927) 11 T.C. 538), repetition and a permanent character, continuity (*Hope v. Bathurst City Council* 80 ATC 4386 at p. 4390; (1980) 144 C.L.R. 1 at p. 9; *Ferguson v. FC of T* 79 ATC 4261 at p. 4264), and system (*Newton v. Pyke* (1908) 25 T.L.R. 127) are all indicia to be considered as a whole, although the absence of any one will not necessarily result in the conclusion that no business is carried on.'

page 8 of 38 FOI status: may be released

26. From the judgments it is clear that the relevant indicators of whether a business of primary production is being carried on by a taxpayer are:

- does the activity have a significant commercial purpose or character?
- does the taxpayer have more than a mere intention to engage in business?
- is there an intention to make a profit or a genuine belief that a profit will be made? Will the activity be profitable?
- is there repetition and regularity in the activity? i.e., how often is the activity engaged in? How much time does the taxpayer spend on the activity?
- is the activity of the same kind and carried on in a similar way to that of the ordinary trade?
- is the activity organised in a businesslike manner?
- what is the size or scale of the activity?
- is the activity better described as a hobby, a form of recreation or a sporting activity?

27. **Note:**

- The following Explanations and Examples have generally been designed to highlight the importance or significance of one indicator or several indicators in certain situations, before considering the next indicator.
- The Examples are not meant to detract from our view that all the relevant indicators need to be considered when deciding whether a primary production activity amounts to a business.
- Similarly, the amount of detail contained in the Examples is well short of the amount of information needed to properly determine the question of whether the taxpayer is carrying on a business of primary production. Refer to CTC Resources NL v. FC of T 94 ATC 4072; (1994) 27 ATR 403 about the need for sufficient information, and note, for example, that the High Court in Hope could not determine the question of whether the activities amounted to a grazing business on the case stated before the court.
- The Examples are not intended to set a minimum number of plants or animals, required by a taxpayer before he/she can show that he/she is carrying on a business of primary production.

FOI status: may be released page 9 of 38

Significant commercial purpose or character

- 28. It is frequently those taxpayers, who earn income from employment or other sources and/or enter into some sort of primary production activity in a small way, who want to show that they are in a business of primary production. These taxpayers usually claim deductions for losses for taxation purposes in the first years of being involved in this activity. In showing that a business is being carried on, it is important that the taxpayer is able to provide evidence that shows there is a significant commercial purpose or character to the primary production activity, i.e., that the activity is carried on for commercial reasons and in a commercially viable manner.
- 29. The phrase 'significant commercial purpose' is referred to by Walsh J in *Thomas v. FC of T* 72 ATC 4094; (1972) 3 ATR 165, (refer to paragraph 81) and discussed further by Gibbs CJ and Stephen J in *Hope*. The 'significant commercial purpose or character' indicator is closely linked to the other indicators and is a generalisation drawn from the interaction of the other indicators. It is particularly linked to the size and scale of activity (refer to paragraphs 77 to 85), the repetition and regularity of activity (refer to paragraphs 55 to 62) and the profit indicators (refer to paragraphs 47 to 54). A way of establishing that there is a significant commercial purpose or character is to compare the activities with those of a taxpayer who is carrying on a similar activity that is a business. Any knowledge, previous experience or skill of the taxpayer in the activity, and any advice taken by the taxpayer in the conduct of the business should also be considered but are not necessarily determinative: see Thomas. In that case, Walsh J found that the taxpayer's activities in growing macadamia nut trees and avocado pear trees amounted to the carrying on of a business. The court was influenced by the scale of the activity, and the taxpayer's expectation of an ongoing financial return. Consideration should also be given to whether the taxpayer is a pioneer in the activity or has developed a new method of undertaking the activity, whether successful or not.
- 30. In order to show that there is a significant commercial purpose or character we suggest that it may help to know whether the taxpayer has:
 - drawn up a business plan (refer to paragraphs 110 to 115);
 - where the taxpayer is not an expert, sought expert advice from the relevant authorities, experienced farmers or agents that work in the area of primary production that the taxpayer intends to carry on;

page 10 of 38 FOI status: may be released

- where the taxpayer is not an expert, obtained technical literature on the activity which the taxpayer intends to carry on;
- obtained soil and water analyses of the land that will be used for the activity;
- established that his/her land is suitable for the activity which the taxpayer intends to carry on;
- considered whether there is a market for his/her product and looked into potential markets for the product (the taxpayer is more likely to be regarded as carrying on a business if he/she sells in a commercial market instead of casual sales to relatives, friends or the public);
- investigated properly the capital requirement of the venture and has a plan that shows how that capital will be obtained and used;
- conducted research into the activity. This should confirm that profits can be expected based on the market prospects, the expected level of production and the running costs of the business (support for this research by reference to authenticated source material assists the taxpayer);
- ensured that the size and scale of the activity is sufficient for a commercial enterprise;
- complied with any legal requirements, i.e., that he/she has obtained any necessary licences, permits and registrations required to operate on a commercial level or can show that these requirements can and will be complied with at the appropriate time, e.g., at the time that produce becomes available for sale; and
- an intention to make a profit. (This could be shown, for example, by a business plan. Further, the taxpayer should have a reasonable belief that the activity is likely to generate a profit.)

These suggestions will assist the taxpayer to show that he/she is carrying on a business of primary production. It will assist the taxpayer if he/she is able to provide evidence in writing to support these activities and plans.

Example 1 - significant commercial purpose or character

31. Mark, a barrister, and his wife Tina, a medical researcher, bought 8 hectares of land on which they built a home. They realised that the land was fertile and capable of producing fruit. Mark spent a

FOI status: may be released page 11 of 38

year seeking advice from the Department of Primary Industries and local farmers. He collected technical literature on citrus farming and obtained soil and water analyses of the land which showed the land was fertile and suitable for the intended activity. He drew up a business plan and a budget of capital and recurrent costs. After clearing the land he and Tina planted 700 mandarin trees, 700 orange trees and 700 lemon trees. They did not expect to make a profit for eight years. Mark also installed an irrigation system. Mark and Tina spent many months investigating the market for citrus fruit and established that there would be no problems in selling their product to wholesalers if it was of good quality. They complied with all registration and licensing requirements. The trees grew well. Mark and Tina devoted a substantial part of their weekends to looking after the trees. They employed casual labour to spray for weeds and pests and to prune the trees. But, before they received any income from the sale of fruit, the trees were destroyed by fire. Were Mark and Tina carrying on a business of citrus fruit farming?

- 32. Yes despite no income being gained because:
 - the scale of their activity was far in excess of their personal needs, and large enough to ensure the venture would be profitable;
 - there was a clear intention to make a profit, even though this would take some time to occur;
 - the intention to make a profit was based on reasonable grounds and backed up by appropriate research;
 - there were likely to be buyers for their produce for some time ahead:
 - the trees were looked after in a manner consistent with business operations;
 - they established and conducted the activity in a businesslike manner;
 - they asked for and followed advice from professionals; and
 - there was an overall permanence about their activity, and the trees would have yielded fruit for a number of years.
- 33. What if Mark and Tina had not done the above research and analysis, had only planted a small number of different types of trees to test which would grow best, and were still investigating the likelihood of potential buyers? This would suggest that their activity was only of a 'preliminary or preparatory' nature and did not amount to the carrying on of a business (refer to paragraph 41).

(Note: refer to paragraph 27.)

page 12 of 38 FOI status: may be released

Example 2 - significant commercial purpose or character

- 34. Nick, a tax lawyer and avid fisherman, owned a 60-foot yacht which he used to go fishing. Several years ago he took advantage of an opportunity to purchase a commercial fishing licence. He rescheduled other commitments so he could spend every second weekend fishing. His crew comprised his sons, David and Michael, and a couple of their acquaintances. Usually Nick and his crew were successful and caught a lot of fish. The crew were paid with fish and the remaining fish were sold to a fish wholesaler. Nick had not conducted any research into the market or methods of fishing. He fished for the best sport fish, and was not concerned with finding fish with the best market returns. Nick had no business plan and was not particularly worried whether his costs were covered by the sale of the fish or not. Further, trips were only made in sunny conditions. Was Nick carrying on a business of fishing?
- 35. No, this does not appear to be a business. Where the activity is one in which many other people take part for sport or recreational purposes we believe there is a need to show a strong 'commercial flavour' about the activity before it would ordinarily be regarded as a business (refer to paragraph 86). In this case:
 - there was no apparent intention to make a profit;
 - the activity lacked the degree of organisation and system that would be found in the activities of people who normally would be regarded as carrying on a business of fishing;
 - the scale of the activity was not small and exceeded the personal needs, but this did not outweigh the sporting or recreational motive behind the fishing trips; and
 - similarly, the trips were conducted regularly, as were sales of the fish, but this also could be explained by the fact that Nick just likes to go fishing often.

(Note: refer to paragraph 27.)

Example 3 - significant commercial purpose or character

36. Naida and her family kept twelve chickens. Twelve was the minimum that she liked to have around. She knew that her relatives and friends liked her home grown eggs, especially the double yolks that were often produced. The chickens produced about six dozen eggs per week. Of these Naida and her family consumed one dozen. She sold the remaining five dozen eggs to relatives and friends. She found that after taking into account her direct feed costs she usually

FOI status: may be released page 13 of 38

managed, in her estimation, to make a modest profit of \$5.00 per week. Was Naida carrying on the business of egg production?

- 37. No. Even though she had repetition and regularity in her operations and was making a modest gross profit:
 - she was not conducting the activity in the same way as that of a commercial poultry farmer;
 - she did not try to sell in a commercial market;
 - she did not seek the best price for her eggs;
 - she did not sell chickens that were culled because of falling productivity;
 - the scale of her operations were such that she could never produce a net profit;
 - she had not conducted any research into the egg industry;
 and
 - she had not looked at the full costs of production and distribution in determining the commercial viability of her enterprise.
- 38. If Naida's activities changed significantly and she: had considerably more chickens; sold the eggs to the public at large at market prices or to retail egg sellers; and established by research that this level of activity was profitable after taking all her costs into account; this would point to a significant commercial character and a profit motive. She may then be carrying on a business of egg production.

(Note: refer to paragraph 27.)

The intention of the taxpayer

39. The intention of the taxpayer in engaging in the activity is a relevant indicator: see *Thomas*. However, a mere intention to carry on a business is not enough. There must be activity. Brennan J in *Inglis v. FC of T* 80 ATC 4001 at 4004-4005; (1979) 10 ATR 493 at 496-497 said that:

'The carrying on of a business is not a matter merely of intention. It is a matter of activity. ... At the end of the day, the extent of activity determines whether the business is being carried on. That is a question of fact and degree.'

See also J&R O'Kane & Co v. IR Commissioners (1920) 12 TC 303 at 347 and Case K9 78 ATC 98 at 103; 22 CTBR (NS) Case 29 at 302.

40. This indicator is particularly related to:

page 14 of 38 FOI status: may be released

- whether the activity is preparatory or preliminary to the ultimate activity;
- whether there is an intention to make a profit; and
- whether the activity is better described as a hobby or the pursuit of a recreational or sporting activity.

Preparatory activities

41. Sometimes a taxpayer may have incurred expenses before commencing a particular business of primary production. For example, expenses associated with experimental or pilot activities which do not amount to a business and do not result in any assessable income being produced are not deductible: see *Softwood Pulp and Paper Ltd v. FC of T* 76 ATC 4439; (1976) 7 ATR 101 and *Goodman Fielder Wattie Ltd v. FC of T* 91 ATC 4438; (1991) 22 ATR 26. Experimental or pilot activities of this nature should be distinguished from the activities in *Ferguson*, which were found to have a sufficient commercial character to be regarded as a business in their own right. However, where a business has commenced, expenses may be deductible even if no income is derived in the relevant year: see *Thomas*.

Example 4 - the intention of the taxpayer

- 42. Pat and Laurie purchased 1,500 acres of rural land in 1980. They heard of an experimental variety of cattle which was bred on the other side of the country. Their friends told them that if this breed became successful they might make a lot of money from establishing a herd. Through friends, they arranged for the transport of one steer to their property. This steer remained on the property from 1980 to 1985 when it died due to lack of veterinary care. They took no further action with respect to the land, preferring to save for the purchase of either cows or sheep in the future. Were Pat and Laurie carrying on a business of primary production?
- 43. Pat and Laurie were not carrying on a business of primary production as:
 - they had no clear purpose of how they would use the land to earn money;
 - the one steer was purely experimental;
 - the steer was incapable of breeding; and
 - the scale of this activity was insufficient to constitute a business.

FOI status: may be released page 15 of 38

44. Pat and Laurie's experimental activities did not have a sufficient commercial character to be regarded as a business. These activities pointed to a decision not yet having been made to carry on business and a lack of commitment at that time to do so (see also the contrasting situations described in *Example 1* at paragraph 31).

(Note: refer to paragraph 27.)

Example 5 - the intention of the taxpayer

45. Lindsay and Loretta bought 700 hectares of run down rural land in 1980. They intended to start a cattle farming business. Over the next five years they spent several thousand dollars on farm machinery. They used this to clear the land, build roads and mend fences. They also bought and erected some farm buildings. No income was derived from the property until 1986 when they stocked the property with 100 cattle. Were Lindsay and Loretta carrying on a business from 1980 to 1985?

46. No, because:

- the activities of Lindsay and Loretta from 1980 to 1985 would be regarded as preparatory to the commencement of business;
- whilst they had a clear purpose to engage in cattle farming, they recognised that certain things needed to be done to the land before they were able to buy the cattle and put them on the land;
- until 1986 there was no size or scale of the relevant activity in the sense that there was no stock; and
- there was no repetition or regularity of activity with respect to cattle farming until the land was stocked.

(Note: refer to paragraph 27.)

Prospect of profit

- 47. We consider this to be a very important indicator. In *Hope* at CLR 8-9; ATC 4390; ATR 236, Mason J indicated that the carrying on of a business is usually such that the activities are:
 - '... engaged in for the purpose of profit on a continuous and repetitive basis.'

In Smith v. Anderson (1880) 15 Ch D 247 at 258, Jessell MR said that:

'... anything which occupies the time and attention and labour of a man for the purpose of profit is business.'

page 16 of 38 FOI status: may be released

In Case H11 76 ATC 59 at 61; 20 CTBR (NS) Case 65 at 603, the Chairman of Board of Review No 1 said:

'In determining whether a business is being carried on it is, in my view, proper to consider, as one of the elements, whether the activities under consideration could ever result in a profit ...'

- 48. We believe it is important that the taxpayer is able to show how the activity can make a profit. Stronger evidence of an intention to make a profit occurs when the taxpayer has conducted research into his/her proposed activity, consulted experts or received advice on the running of the activity and the profitability of it before setting up the business. This was the situation in *FC of T v. JR Walker* 85 ATC 4179; (1985) 16 ATR 331. However, it is not necessary for the primary production activities to make a profit in every year of income in order to classify the activities as a business of primary production. Thus, a taxpayer may be carrying on a business of primary production even though he/she is making a small profit or a loss in any given year of income.
- 49. The situation may arise where a taxpayer is carrying on a business and has an intention to make a profit but the objective evidence is such that a profit is unlikely to be made in the short term. Bowen CJ and Franki J in *Ferguson* at ATC 4264; ATR 876 stated that '... an immediate purpose of profit-making in a particular income year does not appear to be essential ...'. Thus, where short term losses are expected it may be that a business is nevertheless being carried on: see *Tweddle v. FC of T* (1942) 7 ATD 186; (1942) 2 AITR 360.
- 50. Where an activity is carried on and the objective evidence is that it is unlikely a profit will ever be made, this fact in itself does not necessarily mean that a business is not being carried on, if the taxpayer believes that the activity will become profitable. As Walsh J said in *Thomas* at ATC 4100; ATR 171:

'It is not in doubt that he made mistakes. But many persons carry on a business for the competent conduct of which they have not previously acquired much knowledge or experience.'

See also *Tweddle's* case at ATD 190; AITR 364. Taxpayers need to show that the other indicators of business are present in sufficient strength to outweigh any objective view that the activity may be inherently unprofitable. A number of Board of Review and Administrative Appeals Tribunal decisions show that a taxpayer in this situation bears a heavy onus: see *Case M50* 80 ATC 349; 24 CTBR (NS) *Case 24*; *Case K9* 78 ATC 98; 22 CTBR (NS) *Case 29*; *Case L16* 79 ATC 84; 23 CTBR (NS) *Case 20* and *Case L22* 79 ATC 106; 23 CTBR (NS) *Case 25*.

FOI status: may be released page 17 of 38

Example 6 - prospect of profit

- 51. For a number of years Peter used his four hectare property to cultivate 100 pawpaw trees on the outskirts of Fremantle. He had planted the trees on his return from a trip to the tropics. He had thought that there must be a good market for locally grown tropical fruit in Fremantle. However, he had not conducted any research into the growing conditions they would need, including climate, their care or potential markets. He had not undertaken any soil analysis. He was always unable to market the limited amount of produce that he did obtain, because of its poor quality. His only disposals of fruit were to friends and relatives for which no money was received. Expert advice was that commercially saleable fruit would never grow in the location, and that there was little likelihood of a profit ever being made. Was Peter carrying on a business of primary production?
- 52. No. Not only was there no reasonable expectation that the activity would be profitable, there was also:
 - a lack of system and organisation about the activity, including inadequate preparation;
 - a lack of use of the type of methods commercial pawpaw growers use, including suitability of location for growing the fruit; and
 - a general lack of 'significant commercial purpose or character' about the activity.

(Note: refer to paragraph 27.)

Example 7 - prospect of profit

Fay's friends were avid growers of olive trees and were making a small profit on the sale of olive oil they produced from their olives. Fay decided to grow olive trees on her modest property. She researched the varieties and selected those best for olive oil production. Fay planted 50 olive trees on her property. She knew they were hardy trees which required minimum maintenance. She spent the minimum amount of time necessary to care for the trees. She had spoken to her friends and had calculated that after four years she would be able to make a profit on the production of olive oil from the olives she picked. The trees thrived. In the fifth year after planting, a sizeable crop was produced. Fay employed casual labour to pick the olives, borrowed a friend's trailer and took the olives to be pressed. She sold the barrels of olive oil to friends, work colleagues and members of the public who responded to her newspaper advertisements. She derived a substantial profit in that year, which she was told by her friends in the industry was typical. Was Fay carrying on a business of olive production?

page 18 of 38 FOI status: may be released

- 54. Yes. The activities were carried out with a purpose to make a profit, even if no income was made in the first four years of operation. In addition:
 - Fay clearly had a plan to make the activity succeed. She had conducted research by consulting friends in the industry and the local growers association;
 - though the activity was small it was organised. By its nature the activity required minimum maintenance. It was not carried on in an ad hoc manner. Rather, it was carried on in a manner similar to that of other olive producers; and
 - there was repetition and regularity of the activity.

(Note: refer to paragraph 27.)

Repetition and regularity

- 55. It is often a feature of a business that similar sorts of activities are repeated on a regular basis. The repetition of activities by the same person over a period of time on a regular basis helps to determine whether there is the 'carrying on' of a business. For example, in *Hope* the 'transactions were entered into on a continuous and repetitive basis', such that the taxpayer's activities 'manifested the essential characteristics required of a business'. Similarly, in *JR Walker* the court held that there was repetition and regularity in the taxpayer's activities directed to the breeding of high quality Angora goats and to keeping up with the latest information on Angora goats.
- 56. The taxpayer should undertake at least the minimum activities necessary to maintain a commercial quantity and quality of product for sale. It may be that there are no minimum levels for this activity. Where there are minimum levels necessary for this activity which the taxpayer fails to maintain, it may be that for a period the taxpayer has ceased to carry on a business of primary production.

Example 8 - repetition and regularity

57. George owned a pastoral property, 'Wytelaidee', which consisted of 600 hectares. He had acquired the property in 1970. Two hundred hectares were suitable for cultivation and the rest was open grazing country. Cattle and sheep were grazed for a number of years. George grew his own feed for the animals and also grew 200 olive trees. The cattle and sheep were the main primary production activities. The olive trees were a secondary activity because they required limited care. George did ensure that they were adequately sprayed and watered. The olives were bottled and pickled or olive oil

FOI status: may be released page 19 of 38

was extracted from them, and the produce was sold on a regular basis. He usually made a profit from his primary production activities.

- 58. However, George was drawn into a legal battle over 'Wytelaidee' in 1990. He was unable to spend any time on the property and sold all stock, plant and equipment to finance the legal battle. The olive trees were left untended and grew wild. The olives were left to rot. No income was derived from the property from 1991 until the legal battle ended in 1995. George always intended to recommence operations on 'Wytelaidee' after the legal battle. Was George carrying on a business of primary production for the years 1991 to 1995?
- 59. No. As the property was left untended for the period and stock and equipment was sold there was no activity being carried on; thus there was a lack of:
 - any size or scale of activity;
 - an intention to make a profit;
 - repetition or regularity of activity;
 - a significant commercial purpose.
- 60. If George had made arrangements for the olives to be picked, processed and sold, he may have been able to claim that he continued to carry on a business of olive production and sale.

(Note: refer to paragraph 27.)

Example 9 - repetition and regularity

- 61. John, a commercial lawyer, owned 500 apricot trees on a weekend retreat property located 250 km from his home in Brisbane. The trees had been in commercial production when he bought the property. John knew at the outset that he could, with proper management, run the enterprise at a profit. However, due to his employment, he was busy in the city and was unable to attend to the trees on a regular basis. Thus, he did not spray the trees for pests, irrigate or prune them. He decided that he could not be bothered hiring someone to look after the trees. He picked what he could in the hope of making some return but owing to the lack of care the apricots were not of a suitable quality for the commercial market. They were rejected by a wholesaler he approached after he had picked a small quantity of the fruit. He left what fruit he had picked with an honesty box on the side of the road and estimated that he got \$50. Was John carrying on a business of apricot growing?
- 62. No, despite the impression given by the size and scale of his activity. Further:

page 20 of 38 FOI status: may be released

- there was no repetition and regularity of activities that would produce a commercial quantity and quality of fruit for sale;
- there was no clear evidence that he intended to make a profit from this activity;
- the way he conducted it meant it was extremely unlikely that he would ever cover his costs:
- he did not adopt methods used by commercial orchardists;
 and
- there was a general lack of system and organisation about his apricot growing activity.

(Note: refer to paragraph 27.)

Is the activity of the same kind and carried on in a manner that is characteristic of the industry?

- 63. An activity is more likely to be a business when it is carried on in a manner similar to that in which other participants in the same industry carry on their activities. Lord Clyde in *IR Commissioners v. Livingston* at TC 542 said that:
 - '... the test, which must be used to determine whether a venture ... is, or is not, "in the nature of trade", is whether the operations involved in it are of the same kind, and carried on in the same way, as those which are characteristic of ordinary trading in the line of business in which the venture was made.'
- 64. In considering this indicator the following factors might be compared with the characteristics of others engaged in the same type of business:
 - the volume of sales. If there is a small number of sales it is less likely that a business is being carried on. The volume of sales should be capable of producing a profit at some time. However, allowance is made for droughts, fires and other uncontrollable events which may effect the volume of sales. We also accept that in the early stages of an activity, sales may be low;
 - the types of customers the taxpayer sells his/her product to

 wholesalers, retailers, the public at large, or friends or
 relatives and the manner in which this marketing takes
 place;
 - the sort of expenses incurred by the taxpayer;
 - the amount invested in capital items;

FOI status: may be released page 21 of 38

- previous experience of the taxpayer. A taxpayer who does not have any knowledge or experience may be expected to have sought advice from experts. However, it is recognised that a taxpayer may be a pioneer in the industry. The taxpayer may have conducted research into the activity, decided that the traditional approach is wrong. He/she may be trying to conduct the activity with a view to profit in a new but businesslike way; and
- the activity should also be compared with that of a keen amateur. The sales of a keen amateur may only be a way of obtaining 'new' funds to continue with the personal interest.
- 65. The aspects mentioned in the above paragraph would be compared to the same aspects of how others in the industry conduct their business of primary production. The activity should also be compared to that of the activity of a keen amateur. A taxpayer who:
 - has no knowledge or experience of the primary production activity that he/she intends to enter into; and
 - does not seek advice or conduct research; and
 - starts the activity;

may have difficulty in proving that he/she is carrying on a business of primary production. This will be especially so when the above points add to a general impression that there is no profit motive behind the activity and that there is very little likelihood that the activity will ever be profitable.

Example 10 - activity of same kind and carried on in a manner characteristic of industry

Geoff and Heather purchased a small property in the Adelaide 66. Hills after returning from a holiday in Japan where they had noticed not only the sale price but also the remarkable size and flavour of the apples. They had determined that the land was suitable for apple trees. Both spent a considerable amount of time researching, talking to experts and collecting technical literature on apples for the Japanese market. They determined from discussion with wholesalers that if their apples were of superior quality they would have a ready market and would be able to make a substantial profit from selling them They both changed their employment from full-time to part-time so that at all times one of them was on the property. They selected varieties for the Japanese market based on their research and planted one hundred trees. Heather devised a unique irrigation system which they installed. They carefully sprayed and pruned the trees and protected the growing fruit from the elements. From their research

page 22 of 38 FOI status: may be released

they ensured they would meet stringent overseas market rules regarding spraying and quality, etc. They distinguished their product by individually wrapping their fruit and putting 'quality one' labels on them. They made losses for four years in a row. In the fifth year, although making significant sales, their production was still insufficient to generate a profit. However, based on current market conditions and anticipated production levels, they were confident that in future years they would make a profit. Were Geoff and Heather carrying on a business of apple production?

- 67. Yes, because despite the size and scale of operation and the fact that the activity was not carried on in a similar manner to that of the ordinary trade of apple growing:
 - there was an apparent significant commercial purpose or character to their activity;
 - there was a clear intention to make a profit and their research had shown that the activity would be profitable;
 - despite lacking previous experience of apple production they conducted specific research into the methods required to succeed in servicing a specialty market;
 - there was repetition and regularity of the activity given the amount of time they spent attending to the trees;
 - there was an intention to engage in business and a plan for its successful and profitable operation; and
 - unlike Peter in *Example 6* (paragraph 51), Geoff and Heather are able to show that they are pioneering new methods or servicing specialty markets.

(Note: refer to paragraph 27.)

Organisation in a businesslike manner and the use of system

- 68. In *Newton v. Pyke* the court suggested that business should be conducted systematically. A business is characteristically carried on in a systematic and organised manner rather than on an *ad hoc* basis. An activity should generally conform with ordinary commercial principles to amount to the carrying on of a business.
- 69. In *Ferguson* the Full Federal Court was influenced by the systematic and organised nature of the taxpayer's activities. Fisher J said at FLR 324-325; ATC 4271; ATR 884:
 - '... the venture as a whole had a commercial flavour, was conducted systematically and, ... in a business like manner. It could not be said that there was anything haphazard or disorganised in the way in which he carried out the activity.'

FOI status: may be released page 23 of 38

In *JR Walker* Ryan J was satisfied, at ATC 4182; ATR 335, that the taxpayer was in the business of goat breeding as he had 'organised his activities in a business-like way through the keeping of books of account'.

70. The weight that is attached to this indicator will depend on the facts of the situation and a taxpayer may still carry on a business of primary production despite having poor organisational skills.

Example 11 - organisation in a businesslike manner and the use of system

71. Rob had a passion for Topiary plants. He had 500 plants which he had potted and tended on his modest property. There was no local market for the plants. The nearest major city was Melbourne but it was too expensive for him to transport the plants to the city on a regular basis to sell them. He tried unsuccessfully to sell the plants, on irregular occasions, at the Melbourne markets. Rob had no credit facilities and only accepted cash. Was Rob carrying on a business of primary production?

72. No, because:

- his activity did not appear to have an element of commerciality;
- he had not conducted research into the activity, potential markets, or the profitability of the activity;
- his markets were restricted;
- he placed restrictions on his ability to sell the plants by his inability to cater for anything other than cash;
- he had no plan or system in place to make the activity succeed; and
- he engaged in the activity because of his passion for the plants.

(Note: Refer to paragraph 27.)

- 73. In some cases it is essential that specific records are kept, e.g., breeding records for a stud farm. Other matters that may demonstrate that a systematic approach is taken to record keeping are the keeping of records of:
 - inputs and costs of production;
 - seasonal and other conditions affecting production; and
 - how growing and market conditions have varied.

page 24 of 38 FOI status: may be released

74. For taxation purposes, certain records are required to be kept where a business is being carried on. The keeping of records which monitor the flow of cash, stock and production assists in showing that a business is being carried on (see also Taxation Ruling TR 96/7 and the record keeping provisions of section 262A of the ITAA 1936).

Example 12 - organisation in a businesslike manner and the use of system

- 75. Leon owned a property of twenty hectares in Queensland, an hour's drive from his home. He bred race horses and had begun with six brood mares, a stallion and a colt which he had built into a modest-sized stable. Over the years he had conducted extensive research into horse breeding activities and had collected a great deal of literature. Through his contacts with local and overseas breeders he developed a number of ideas for a successful and profitable breeding program. He had established that he could make a reasonable profit, had identified relevant markets and had a business plan. He had set up a computer system to monitor the breeding program, costs and the record keeping requirements of the ITAA 1936. His activity was well organised and conducted in a systematic manner. Was Leon carrying on a business of primary production?
- 76. Yes. Given the presence of the other indicators, the fact that he carried on his activity in an organised and systematic manner added weight to the conclusion that he was carrying on a business of horse breeding. See also the discussion in Taxation ruling TR 2008/2 dealing with issues relating to the horse industry.

(Note: refer to paragraph 27.)

Size or scale of the activity

- 77. The larger the scale of the activity the more likely it will be that the taxpayer is carrying on a business of primary production. However, this is not always the case. The size or scale of the activity is not a determinative test, and a person may carry on a business though in a small way (*Thomas* at ATC 4099; ATR 171).
- 78. For example, the case of *JR Walker* involved five Angora goats, two of which died. Whilst the scale was small, the court held that a goat breeding business was being carried on because there was a profit making purpose and repetition and regularity in the taxpayer's activities. Research, based on authenticated sources, showed that a profit could be made from the significant capital allocated to breeding stock.
- 79. In JR Walker, Ryan J said at ATC 4182; ATR 334:

FOI status: may be released page 25 of 38

'... the respondent's activities had the purpose of profit making.
... There was also repetition and regularity in his activities. ...
The activities of the taxpayer were limited but ... he maintained communications with the expert and he tried to make himself informed about market conditions through membership of the Angora Breed Society and reading publications ... He organised his activities in a business-like way through the keeping of books of account ...'

- 80. The scale of the activities may be small but still result in more produce than is required for the taxpayer's own domestic needs. Where this is so, and there is also an intent to profit from the activities and a reasonable expectation of doing so, a business may be carried on despite the scale.
- 81. Similarly, in *Thomas* at ATC 4099; ATR 171 Walsh J in the High Court said:

But a man may carry on a business although he does so in a small way. In my opinion the appellant's activities in growing the trees ought not to be found to have been carried on merely for recreation or as a hobby. I leave out of account the pine trees, the growing of which did not have, I think, a significant commercial purpose or character. But the appellant in planting the avocado pear trees and the macadamia nut trees set out to grow them on a scale that was much greater than was required to satisfy his own domestic needs and he expected upon reasonable grounds that their produce would have a ready market and would yield, if the trees became established, a financial return which would be of a significant amount, with relatively small outlay of time and money, and that this return would continue for a very long time.'

82. The smaller the scale of the activity the more important the other indicators become when deciding whether a taxpayer is carrying on a business of primary production.

Example 13 - size or scale of the activity

83. See *Example 9* (John and his 500 apricot trees) at paragraph 61. In this example, despite the size/scale of activity by John, he was not carrying on a business of primary production.

(Note: refer to paragraph 27.)

page 26 of 38 FOI status: may be released

Example 14 - size or scale of the activity

84. See *Example 10* (Geoff and Heather and the Japanese apple market) at paragraph 66. In this example, Geoff and Heather carried on a business of very small scale apple production for the Japanese market. Their research has shown that their activities will result in a profit.

(Note: refer to paragraph 27.)

Example 15 - size or scale of the activity

85. See *Example 3* (Naida and her chickens) at paragraph 36. The small scale of her operations counted against there being a commercial purpose or character to the activities.

(Note: Refer to paragraph 27.)

Hobby or recreation

86. The pursuit of a hobby is not the carrying on of a business for taxation purposes. Money derived from the pursuit of a hobby is not regarded as income and therefore is not assessable. As was said in *Ferguson* at ATC 4265; ATR 877:

'... if what he is doing is **more properly described as the pursuit of a hobby or recreation or an addiction to a sport,** he will not be held to be carrying on a business, even though his operations are fairly substantial.' (emphasis added)

Expenses incurred in relation to the hobby activity are not allowable deductions. However, we recognise that a hobby can sometimes turn into a business.

- 87. Often it will be the case that there is a hobby when:
 - it is evident that the taxpayer does not intend to make a profit from the activity;
 - losses are incurred because the activity is motivated by personal pleasure and not to make a profit and there is no plan in place to show how a profit can be made;
 - the transaction is isolated and there is no repetition or regularity of sales;
 - any activity is not carried on in the same manner as a normal, ordinary business activity;
 - there is no system to allow a profit to be produced in the conduct of the activity;
 - the activity is carried on a small scale;

FOI status: may be released page 27 of 38

- there is an intention by the taxpayer to carry on a hobby, a recreation or a sport rather than a business;
- any produce is sold to friends and relatives and not to the public at large.

Example 16 - hobby or recreation

- Norm was a keen gardener. His two favourite vegetables were 88. broccoli and pumpkin. He enjoyed growing these in his garden and exhibiting the larger specimens at vegetable shows for which he often won prizes. He had been doing this for the last twenty years. Norm always kept up with the latest advances in growing techniques of broccoli and pumpkin. He had always been keen to talk to other growers of the vegetables and had subscribed to the relevant magazines. He also stayed in regular contact with the Department of Primary Industries to keep up with the latest information about vegetable growing. Norm had no business plan. He kept no records of his expenses. His only intention was to grow the biggest and best broccoli and pumpkin. To this end he often experimented with different growing techniques. Usually he gave away his broccoli and pumpkin to relatives and friends. However, he found that in the last 12 months people approached him at the shows to purchase his prize winning specimens. He has been happy to sell these. Was Norm carrying on a business of primary production?
- 89. No. Rather his activities amounted to a hobby for the following reasons:
 - the size and scale of his activity was small, as it was in his backyard;
 - he had no plan or intention to make a profit and his activities were motivated by his passion for growing broccoli and pumpkins;
 - his produce was usually given away to friends and family rather than sold to the general public; and
 - records were not kept and the activity was not carried on in the same manner as that of the ordinary business activity of commercial pumpkin and broccoli growers.
- 90. As a result of being approached at shows, Norm realised that there was a potential market for his produce and that he could turn his hobby into a business. He developed a profit making intention. He worked out the economics of his activities and calculated that by using extra land he would make a profit. He rented a block of land on which he grew broccoli and pumpkins on a larger scale. He kept detailed records of his activities and established his markets mostly as a result

page 28 of 38 FOI status: may be released

of approaches by people to him. Was Norm carrying on a business of primary production?

- 91. Yes, Norm would be regarded as carrying on a business of primary production when the nature of the activity changed being a hobby to a business. At this time, in addition to his experience and skill in the activity:
 - the activity had a profit making purpose;
 - he expanded the size of the activity;
 - he kept detailed records; and
 - he established markets.

(Note: refer to paragraph 27.)

Example 17 - hobby or recreation

- 92. Richard was a musician and singer in a rock band. He was also interested in dressage. Richard owned a substantial land holding on which he bred horses to obtain better mounts for his dressage competitions. He trained his own horses. He belonged to the local dressage club and usually sold any unwanted and untrained offspring through his club and the local newspaper. The sale prices were well below the expenses associated with maintaining the horses. He conducted research into breeding and training techniques and tried to keep up to date with the latest information. He kept detailed records of breeding and all expenses associated with the horses. When the horses became too old to compete he put them out to pasture, as he could not bear to part with his old companions. Was Richard carrying on a business of horse breeding?
- 93. No, despite the keeping of records, the organisation, the repetition and regularity of activity and the research conducted, because:
 - the activity was primarily motivated by his desire to compete and any returns were merely incidental to this purpose;
 - no profit was made from the activity;
 - there was no intention to carry on a business or to make a profit; the keeping of records, the research and the sales were all associated with Richard's dressage activities; and
 - there was no significant commercial purpose or character to the activity.

(Note: refer to paragraph 27.)

FOI status: may be released page 29 of 38

Application of all the indicators

Example 18 - Application of all the indicators

- 94. George and Desi grew tired of living in the suburbs. They moved, with their two young children, to a 20 hectare property about 50 kilometres away from the capital city where they had lived. As part of their rural lifestyle they wanted to use their new property for primary production activities.
- 95. In July 1993 they planted oats, Japanese millet, phalaris and clover. The land was fertilised in expectation that they would be able to graze some beef cattle on it, fatten and sell them. They noted that a number of the larger properties in the area were used for this purpose.
- 96. Due to a shortage of funds, it was not until May 1994 that they purchased 10 cattle. They did no analysis of whether the grazing of beef cattle on their land would be profitable. George and Desi had no clear idea of what all their costs would be for such an activity. They spoke to a number of their neighbours about the maximum number of cattle that their land could carry, but they received conflicting advice. Most of the advice suggested however that they did not have enough land to make the venture profitable.
- 97. The first sale, of 5 head, was in March 1995. At that time Desi accepted a redundancy offer and applied herself full time to their cattle grazing activity. With the money from the pay-out she purchased 20 additional cattle on the advice of her neighbour (a cattle farmer for a number of years). At the same time she developed a plan based on expert advice from the Department of Primary Industries for maximising the carrying capacity of their land and achieving profitability. She travelled with neighbours and purchased 20 weaners, and she arranged to share costs with these neighbours in transporting their cattle to various markets for sale.
- 98. By June 1996, 35 more cattle had been sold and 10 were on hand. Market conditions were poor and the sale prices they received had not been much greater than the price they had paid. However, Desi calculated that they could cut their costs further and noted from the rural press that long term forecasts of beef prices were good. On the basis of improved prices and a doubling of the herd size, she calculated that their activities could produce a reasonable profit. With her redundancy money she calculated that they could buy an adjoining 20 hectares for this purpose.
- 99. Were George and Desi carrying on a business of cattle grazing? If so, when did this business commence?
- 100. This example is meant to illustrate the importance of considering all the indicators of whether a business is being carried on and how the facts related to some of those indicators can materially

page 30 of 38 FOI status: may be released

change over time. In this particular case there was a marked change in the character of the cattle grazing activity after March 1995.

101. Before March 1995 George and Desi were not carrying on a business of primary production because there was:

- little evidence of any system or organisation about the activity;
- doubt as to whether there was an overall profit-making purpose;
- a strong suggestion that the activity, as it was being conducted at that time, was inherently unprofitable; and
- little repetition or regularity about the activity, and the small scale of the activity.

102. After March 1995 there was a considerable change in the way that the cattle grazing activity was carried on. In particular, there was then:

- a clear focus on how to make a profit from the activity.

 This was demonstrated by the drawing up of a plan to make a profit based on expert advice, the search for the most profitable markets for the sale of the cattle and efforts to reduce the costs of obtaining and maintaining the cattle;
- an increase in repetition and regularity, particularly an increase in purchases and sales of cattle;
- more similarity between the activities of George and Desi and those of a person who would clearly be considered to be carrying on a business of cattle grazing; and
- a greater sense of permanency, and scale of the activity as evidenced by the purchase of the neighbouring property, together with a greater capacity to make the operations profitable.
- 103. We consider that from March 1995 George and Desi were carrying on a business of cattle grazing.

(Note: refer to paragraph 27.)

Private rulings

104. A person can obtain a Private Ruling under Division 359 of Schedule 1 to the TAA on whether he/she is carrying on a business (see TR 2006/11).

105. [Omitted.]

FOI status: may be released page 31 of 38

106. [Omitted.]

107. [Omitted.]

108. An application should contain 'sufficient information' to enable the Commissioner to give the ruling. Where insufficient information is provided the Commissioner must request the relevant information under section 357-105 of Schedule 1 to the TAA. Where information has been requested and the applicant does not provide the information to the Commissioner within a reasonable time, the Commissioner may decline to make the Ruling: subsection 357-105(2).

109. 'Sufficient information' includes information which covers the eight indicators, and any other matter which the taxpayer considers relevant. We expect to see information about:

- purpose and intention the reason the taxpayer has entered into the activity; whether the taxpayer is employed in some other area;
- profit motive and profitability of the activity e.g., the existence of a business plan; the results of research on viability; realistic sales forecasts; cost projections until the activity is expected to become profitable; details of how capital is to be employed; the source and cost of funds; taxpayer's expertise in the activity;
- repetition and regularity of the activity how much time is spent on the activity; a breakdown of the tasks that are performed on a regular basis; regularity of purchases and sales;
- activity of the same kind such as descriptions of methods used in the activity with regard to cultivation, livestock raising, obtaining the relevant licences and complying with the relevant laws;
- organisation how the activity is conducted; how and what records are kept; what advice is sought;
- the size and scale of the activity e.g., the area of the land; details of any private residence on the land; details of improvements to the land such as fences and sheds, clearing and fertilising; details of equipment/plant purchase including depreciation schedules; the numbers of livestock involved; level of capital investment;
- whether the activity could be viewed as a hobby or recreation;
- significant commercial purpose this will generally follow from all of the above.

page 32 of 38 FOI status: may be released

Business plan

- 110. We emphasise that, whilst a business plan will help a taxpayer to establish that he/she is carrying on a business of primary production, it is not compulsory. Nor will the existence of a business plan be conclusive evidence that the taxpayer's activity amounts to the carrying on of a business.
- 111. A business plan is particularly relevant to establishing that there is an intention to make a profit, that the activity will be profitable and that the activity has a significant commercial purpose. This is especially so where it is capable of authentication by reference to texts, publications by relevant authorities or organisations and local experience in the industry. A business plan may include many things. However, we recommend that the basic elements of the business plan should include information about:
 - a description of the business;
 - the markets to which the taxpayer proposes to sell and realistic estimates of quantity and volume of sales;
 - income expected from the activity;
 - the research that has been conducted by the taxpayer e.g., who the taxpayer has spoken to, what literature he/she has collected; what previous knowledge he/she has;
 - information about the property on which the taxpayer proposes to conduct the business - e.g., its area, distance from the taxpayer's home, whether irrigated, whether soil and water tested, whether rainfall sufficient for the activity;
 - information about expected expenses and capital outlays e.g., cost of travel, electricity, gas and water, cost of plant and equipment and stock; and
 - information about how the taxpayer proposes to pay for the expenses and capital outlays - e.g., if the taxpayer takes out a loan what is the interest rate and how long will the loan take to repay.

Business plan example

112. Business - Jeff's passionfruit

2000 Passion fruit vines. To be planted beginning financial year 1996.

FOI status: may be released page 33 of 38

Markets

Sell primary / A-grade fruit to Brisbane markets - up to 10 trays in good weeks, seconds, etc., for pulping and fruit juice markets.

Research

Spoke with local fruit growers about pests, etc., collected DPI information on types and spraying cycles. Passion Fruit Growers Association in Brisbane provided literature on the latest practices re growing passion fruit and which passion fruit varieties to grow given future markets. Obtained DPI copy of 'Passionfruit in Queensland'. Combined with my knowledge of farming from several years on a fruit tree farm I feel that I have the knowledge and time available to undertake the activity successfully.

Property

4 hectare block, 20 km from my home in Mackay, will require additional watering given varying rainfall. Existing bore water quality and quantity tested and found sufficient for my crop size. Irrigation to be installed. Soil tested and found to be suitable.

Expected expenses / outlays

Travel to block 3 times a week after work and weekends as needed. Estimate travel expenses using my existing utility will be \$3,500 a year - including delivery of produce. Estimate that about half of this will be deductible, when carrying bulky farm materials. Electricity will need to be connected for pump and shed lights with an estimated running cost of \$500 per year. These and other one-off expenses include:

Connection of electricity	\$1,000	
Purchase of vines @ \$3.00 per vine	\$6,000	
Installation of irrigation	\$1,000	
Rent of tractor / post digger for trellises (from neighbour)\$500		
Posts / wire / for trellises and to fix up property fencing\$1,500		
Labour (brother will help on posts)	Free	
Ride-on mower / spray unit (2nd hand)	\$3,500.	

I will take out a loan of \$10,000 to cover the above expenses plus use my existing savings. Given my other income I should have the loan paid out in 5 years. The vines will last 5 years from the time of planting and then I will replace them.

page 34 of 38 FOI status: may be released

113. Table of anticipated receipts and costs (in 1996 dollars)

Year	1995/	1996/	1997/	1998/	1999/
1 001	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
Expected Sales					
A Grade (avg price)	0	2500	4000	4000	3000
Seconds (pulping)	2000	3000	5000	5500	6000
Gross Receipts	2000	5500	9000	9500	9000
Running Costs					
Accounting Fees	200	250	300	350	400
Bank Charges	50	50	50	50	50
Interest	1200	1000	800	600	300
Protective Clothing	50	50	50	50	50
Repairs and Maintenance / fuel	300	500	500	500	500
Motor Vehicle	1750	1750	1750	1750	1750
Telephone	100	100	100	100	100
Sprays and Chemicals	250	250	250	250	250
Rates and Taxes	300	325	350	375	400
General Expenses	200	200	200	200	200
Total Costs	4400	4475	4350	4225	4000
Net Profit / Loss on	(2400)	1025	4650	5275	5000
trading before write- off and depreciation	Loss	Profit	Profit	Profit	Profit

FOI status: may be released page 35 of 38

114. Depreciation and capital write-offs

Depreciation on sprayer / mower, fences / improvements and trellises	960	960	960	960	960
Write-off of vines - Div 10F, starting 1 May 96	4081	24002	24003	7924	
Write-off electricity connection costs (10 years - section 70A)	100	100	100	100	100
Write-off irrigation expense (3 years - section 75B)	333	333	334	Nil	Nil
Total Write-off and Depreciation	1801	3793	3794	1852	1060
Net Profit / Loss on trading after write-off and depreciation	(4201) Loss	(2768) Loss	856 Profit	3423 Profit	3940 Profit

Jeff approached his accountant who gave him some additional information on capital and equipment write-offs and depreciation. He suggested using sections 70A and 75B to write off the electricity connection and irrigation expenses and Division 10F to write-off the capital value of the vines, although he noted that this would produce timing differences compared with an accounting write-off. However, using these rates of write-off would mean that the outcome would produce a correct tax result. Jeff noted as part of his business plan that:

'I have not yet seen published any Division 10F "safe harbour" write-off rates issued by the Commissioner, so in my business

¹ Calculated from 1 May 1996: 62/365 days x \$6,000 capital cost x 40% rate for plants with 4 year life from date first become income producing - see section 124ZZI of the ITAA 1936.

² Full year write-off of \$6,000 capital cost @ 40% rate.

³ Full year write-off of \$6,000 capital cost @ 40% rate.

⁴ Write-off of balance of the \$6,000 over the 2 years and 183 days allowed for by section 124ZZI.

page 36 of 38 FOI status: may be released

plan calculation I will use a four year write-off of the cost of the vines, commencing from when they become income producing, which I estimate to be 1 May 1996.'

115. **Note:** This business plan example is an illustration only. It is not definitive of the deductions a taxpayer may claim or the calculation of profit.

Detailed contents list

116. Below is a detailed contents list for this Ruling:

paragraph
1
3
5
7
8
8
imary 12
19
23
23
28
31
34
36
39
41
42
45
47
51
53
55
57

FOI status: may be released page 37 of 38

Example 9	61
Is the activity of the same kind and carried on in a manner that is characteristic of the industry	63
Example 10	66
Organisation in a businesslike manner and the use of system	68
Example 11	71
Example 12	75
Size or scale of the activity	77
Example 13	83
Example 14	84
Example 15	85
Hobby or recreation	86
Example 16	88
Example 17	92
Application of all the indicators	94
Example 18	94
Private rulings	104
Business plan	110
Business plan example	112

Commissioner of Taxation

4 June 1997

ISSN	1039 - 0731	FOI index detail reference number
ATO ref	ferences	I 1017231
NO	96/5590-5	
	97/545-1	subject references
	973882-7	 primary production
ВО	PUL A.1270	 whether carrying on a business of primary production
Previous	sly released in draft form as	
TR 97/D	D1	legislative references
		- ITAA 1936
Price	\$3.80	- ITAA 1936 6
		- ITAA 1936 262A
		- ITAA 1997
		- ITAA 1997 995-1(1)

page 38 of 38 FOI status: may be released

- TAA 1953 Sch 1 357-105
- TAA 1953 Sch 1 357-105(2)
- TAA 1953 Sch 1 357-110
- TAA 1953 Div 359

case references

- CTC Resources NL v. FC of T 94 ATC 4072; (1994) 27 ATR 403
- Erichsen v. Last (1881) 8 QB 414
- Evans v. FC of T 89 ATC 4540;
 (1989) 20 ATR 922
- FC of T v. JR Walker 85 ATC 4179; (1985) 16 ATR 331
- Ferguson v. FC of T (1979) 37 FLR
 310; 79 ATC 4261; (1979) 9 ATR
 873
- Goodman Fielder Wattie Ltd v. FC of T 91 ATC 4438; (1991) 22 ATR 26
- Hope v. The Council of the City of Bathurst (1980) 144 CLR 1; 80 ATC 4386; (1980) 12 ATR 231
- Inglis v. FC of T 80 ATC 4001;
 (1979) 10 ATR 493
- IRC v. Incorporated Council of Law Reporting (1888) 22 QB 279
- J&R O'Kane & Co v. IR Commissioners (1920) 12 TC 303
- Martin v. FC of T (1953) 90 CLR 470; 5 AITR 548
- Newton v. Pyke (1908) 25 TLR 127
- Smith v. Anderson (1880) 15 Ch D 247
- Softwood Pulp and Paper Ltd v. FC of T 76 ATC 4439; (1976) 7 ATR 101
- The Commissioners of Inland Revenue v. Livingston and Others (1927) 11 TC 538
- Thomas v. FC of T 72 ATC 4094; (1972) 3 ATR 165
- Tweddle v. FC of T (1942) 7 ATD 186; (1942) 2 AITR 360
- Case H11 76 ATC 59; 20 CTBR (NS) Case 65
- Case K9 78 ATC 98; 22 CTBR (NS) Case 29
- Case L16 79 ATC 84; 23 CTBR (NS) Case 20
- Case L22 79 ATC 106; 23 CTBR (NS) Case 25
- Case M50 80 ATC 349; 24 CTBR (NS) Case 24