





to purchase the car from the finance company for its residual value while an operating lease is simply a contract for the use of the car for a fixed term. It appears the car plan structured in this way raises the possible argument that the employer is not providing any benefit with respect to the opportunity to purchase the car because the employer is not a party to the finance lease.

4. As well as the straightforward dual lease arrangement outlined above there are two variations which have also been promoted. These variations are:-

- (a) As consideration for the sublease, the employer pays the employee's obligations under the finance lease. The employer advises the leasing company that it (the employer) has agreed to sublease the car selected by the employee and that the arrangement forms part of the employee's remuneration and will subsist for the employee's period of employment during which the employer will assume the employee's obligations under the finance lease.
- (b) A novation, where the finance lease between the leasing company and the employee is varied so as to create a tripartite contract with the employer which shifts the obligation to make lease payments from the employee to the employer and whereby the employee forgoes the right to receive rent under the sublease.
- (c) An associate (a family member, usually the spouse) of the employee is a party to the finance lease and sublease instead of the employee.

5. When the employer enters into the sublease with an employee or an associate, the car effectively remains in the employee's hands at all times but it is claimed that it will be "held" by the employer for fringe benefits tax purposes and be "provided" to the employee as a car fringe benefit. The employer will have a fringe benefits tax liability based on the taxable value of the car fringe benefit as calculated using the statutory formula method.

6. The employer pays the consideration under the sublease either to the employee, associate or directly to the finance company and also reimburses all the expenses the employee incurs in relation to the car, e.g., any insurance, registration, petrol, repairs etc. These reimbursements would normally be subject to fringe benefits tax but it is claimed that as they relate to car expenses and are provided at the same time as the employer is paying fringe benefits tax on the car fringe benefit, they are exempt under section 53 of the Fringe Benefits Tax Assessment Act.

7. The promoters of the car plan also maintain that, under their plan the employer is not liable for fringe benefits tax on any benefit the employee obtains from being able to purchase the car for less than its market value at the expiration of the











