

***TD 93/231 - Fringe benefits tax: what is an acceptable method for determining the 'notional value' of a property fringe benefit for the purpose of sections 42 and 43 of the Fringe Benefits Tax Assessment Act 1986 ?***

 This cover sheet is provided for information only. It does not form part of *TD 93/231 - Fringe benefits tax: what is an acceptable method for determining the 'notional value' of a property fringe benefit for the purpose of sections 42 and 43 of the Fringe Benefits Tax Assessment Act 1986 ?*

This Determination, to the extent that it is capable of being a 'public ruling' in terms of Part IVAAA of the *Taxation Administration Act 1953*, is a public ruling for the purposes of that Part. Taxation Ruling TR 92/1 explains when a Determination is a public ruling and how it is binding on the Commissioner. Unless otherwise stated, this Determination applies to years commencing both before and after its date of issue. However, this Determination does not apply to taxpayers to the extent that it conflicts with the terms of a settlement of a dispute agreed to before the date of issue of the Determination (see paragraphs 21 and 22 of Taxation Ruling TR 92/20).

---

---

## Taxation Determination

---

---

### **Fringe benefits tax: what is an acceptable method for determining the 'notional value' of a property fringe benefit for the purpose of sections 42 and 43 of the *Fringe Benefits Tax Assessment Act 1986*?**

1. 'Notional value' is defined in subsection 136(1) as the amount that a person could reasonably be expected to have been required to pay to obtain the property under an arm's length transaction.
2. To ascertain the 'notional value' of a property fringe benefit the employer must determine the amount the employee would have to pay for a comparable (on the basis of age, type and condition) benefit under an arm's length transaction.
3. This Office will accept a number of ways of obtaining the notional value including:
  - the price of comparable goods advertised in local newspapers and/or relevant magazines or similar publications;
  - the price paid for comparable goods at a public auction;
  - the price of comparable goods at a second-hand store; or
  - the market value of the goods determined by a qualified valuer.
4. The lowest value obtained using any of these methods will be acceptable.
5. Valuation methods which are not acceptable to this Office include the lease residual value, the tax written down value or the 'best offer' made by an employee.
6. Subsection 132(1) requires an employer to keep records that identify and explain all transactions and acts relevant for the purpose of ascertaining an employer's fringe benefits tax liability. To satisfy this requirement, an employer should maintain records of how the value of a property fringe benefit in these circumstances is arrived at. Supporting information such as newspaper advertisements and auction notices should be retained for this purpose.

*Example:*

*An employer allows one of her employees to have possession of an old office computer that is no longer used. A comparable computer was advertised in the local newspaper for \$700. These computers were also recently sold at a second-hand computer show for \$500. The notional value to the employer will be accepted as \$500.*

**Commissioner of Taxation**25/11/93

---

FOI INDEX DETAIL: Reference No. I 1216689

Previously issued as Draft TD 93/D243

Related Determinations:

Related Rulings:

Subject Ref: computers; notional value

Legislative Ref: FBTA 42, 43, 136(1)

Case Ref:

ATO Ref: FBT Cell 30/62

---

ISSN 1038 - 8982